

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/559,874	04/25/2000	Jay Leng	CHEM1100	1721
759	90 08/29/2002			
Lisa A Haile PhD Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP 4365 Executive Drive Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92121-2189			EXAMINER	
			RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3, 7			1642 DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/559,874	LENG, JAY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J						
, _	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48-62,69 and 70</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47 and 63-68</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	»П., . .	(DTO 440) D N(-)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/559,874

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

- The request filed on July 29, 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) 1. under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/559,874 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- Claims 1-70 are pending in the application. Claims 48-62, 69, and 70 are 2. withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. The election in Paper No. 6 was treated as an election made without traverse for the reason stated in the Office Action mailed March 9, 2001 (Paper No. 7).
- 3. Claims 1-47 and 63-68 are currently under prosecution.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to for the reason set forth in the Office Action mailed 4. October 31, 2001 (Paper No. 9). However, as noted therein, in view of Applicant's expressed willingness to make the deposit and to amend the specification to insert the ATCC accession number, this matter has been set aside until this application is in a condition for allowance except for the needed deposit and amendment to the specification. At that time Applicant will be invited make the deposit and amend the specification. Applicant will be given a period of time within which the deposit must be made in order to avoid abandonment. This time period is not extendable under § 1.136(a) or (b) if set forth in a "Notice of Allowability" or in an Office action having a mail date on or after the mail date of a "Notice of Allowability" (see § 1.136(c)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,874 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-47 and 63-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention for the reason set forth in the Office Action mailed October 31, 2001 (Paper No. 9).
- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-47 and 63-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are set forth in section 7 of the Office Action mailed March 9, 2001 (Paper No. 7).
- 9. Claims 1-47 and 63-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The grounds of rejection are set forth in section 8 of the Office Action mailed March 9, 2001 (Paper No. 7) and in section 14 of the Office Action mailed October 31, 2001 (Paper No. 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said

Application/Control Number: 09/559,874

Art Unit: 1642

subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 1-47 and 63-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cree (*Methods in Molecular Biology* 102: 169-177, 1998) in view of Virta, et al (*Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 38: 2775-2779, 1994), Edinger, et al (*DRU* 1: 303-310, 1999), Prosser, et al (*Critical Reviews in Biotechnology* 16: 157-183, 1996), and further in view of US 5,292,658 A, and US 6,171,808 B1 for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed March 9, 2001 (Paper No. 7).

Conclusion

12. No claims are allowed.

13. This is a continued process application of Applicant's earlier Application No. 09/559,874. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

(703) 305-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternate Fridays, 8:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony C. Caputa, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1642

DONNA WORTMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

slr

August 28, 2002